

# Wireless Mesh Networks

6.S062 Spring 2017

Lecture 6

Balakrishnan & Madden

M.I.T.


Today's paper:

# A High-Throughput Path Metric for Multi-Hop Wireless Routing


Douglas S. J. De Couto

Daniel Aguayo, John Bicket, and Robert Morris


# Indoor wireless network




29 PCs with 802.11b radios (fixed transmit power) in 'ad hoc' mode



# Testbed UDP throughput



# What throughput is possible?






“Best” for each pair is highest measured throughput of 10 promising static routes.

# Talk outline

- Testbed throughput problems
- Wireless routing challenges
- A new high-throughput metric (ETX)
- Evaluation


# Challenge: more hops, less throughput

- Links in route share radio spectrum
- Extra hops reduce throughput



# Challenge: many links are lossy


## One-hop broadcast delivery ratios



Smooth link distribution complicates link classification.




# Challenge: many links are asymmetric



Many links are good in one direction, but lossy in the other.

# A straw-man route metric

Maximize bottleneck throughput




Bottleneck throughput:  $\begin{cases} A-B-C = 50\% \\ A-D-C = \underline{51\%} \end{cases}$

Actual throughput:  $\begin{cases} A-B-C : \text{ABBABBABB} = \underline{33\%} \\ A-D-C : \text{AADDAAADD} = 25\% \end{cases}$

# Another straw-man metric

Maximize end-to-end delivery ratio






End-to-end delivery ratio:  $\begin{cases} A-B-C = \underline{51\%} \\ A-C = 50\% \end{cases}$

Actual throughput:  $\begin{cases} A-B-C : ABBABBABB = 33\% \\ A-C : AAAAAAAAAA = \underline{50\%} \end{cases}$

# New metric: ETX

Minimize total transmissions per packet  
(ETX, “Expected Transmission Count”)

Link throughput  $\approx 1 / \text{Link ETX}$

<u>Delivery Ratio</u>		<u>Link ETX</u>	<u>Throughput</u>
100%		1	100%
50%		2	50%
33%		3	33%

# Calculating link ETX

Assuming 802.11 link-layer acknowledgments (ACKs) and retransmissions:

$$P(\text{TX success}) = P(\text{Data success}) \times P(\text{ACK success})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Link ETX} &= 1 / P(\text{TX success}) \\ &= 1 / [ P(\text{Data success}) \times P(\text{ACK success}) ] \end{aligned}$$

Estimating link ETX:

$$P(\text{Data success}) \approx \text{measured fwd delivery ratio } r_{\text{fwd}}$$

$$P(\text{ACK success}) \approx \text{measured rev delivery ratio } r_{\text{rev}}$$






$$\text{Link ETX} \approx 1 / (r_{\text{fwd}} \times r_{\text{rev}})$$

## Measuring delivery ratios

- Each node broadcasts small link probes (134 bytes), once per second
- Nodes remember probes received over past 10 seconds
- Reverse delivery ratios estimated as
$$r_{\text{rev}} \approx \text{pkts received} / \text{pkts sent}$$
- Forward delivery ratios obtained from neighbors (piggybacked on probes)

# Route ETX

Route ETX = Sum of link ETXs

	<u>Route ETX</u>	<u>Throughput</u>
	1	100%
	2	50%
	2	50%
	3	33%
	5	20%

# ETX Properties

- ETX predicts throughput for short routes (1, 2, and 3 hops)
- ETX quantifies loss
- ETX quantifies asymmetry
- ETX quantifies throughput reduction of longer routes




# ETX caveats

- ETX link probes are susceptible to MAC unfairness and hidden terminals
  - Route ETX measurements change under load
- ETX estimates are based on measurements of a single link probe size (134 bytes)
  - Under-estimates data loss ratios, over-estimates ACK loss ratios
- ETX assumes all links run at one bit-rate

# Evaluation Setup

- Indoor network, 802.11b, “ad hoc” mode
- 1 Mbps, 1 mW, small packets (134 bytes), RTS/CTS disabled
- DSDV + modifications to respect metrics
  - Packets are routed using route table snapshot to avoid route instability under load.
- DSR + modifications to respect metrics

# ETX improves DSDV throughput




# Some related work

- Threshold-based techniques
  - DARPA PRNet, 1970s–80s [Jubin87]: Minimum hop-count, ignore ‘bad’ links (delivery ratio  $< 5/8$  in either direction)
  - Link handshaking [Lundgren02, Chin02]: Nodes exchange neighbor sets to filter out asymmetric links.
  - SNR-based approaches [Hu02]: Mark low-SNR links as ‘bad’, and avoid them
- Mote sensors [Yarvis02]
  - Product of link delivery ratios

# From ETX to Expected Transmission Time (ETT)

- Extending to wireless networks with multiple bit rates
- Take into account both the delivery rate and the **time** taken to transmit packet (i.e., time occupied on “air” by packet)




# Summary

- ETX is a new route metric for multi-hop wireless networks
- ETX accounts for
  - Throughput reduction of extra hops
  - Lossy and asymmetric links
  - Link-layer acknowledgements
- ETX finds better routes!


Extra slides follow

# Big packets







# Per-pair DSDV throughputs




# ETX vs. link handshaking



# Hop-count penalty




# Throughput differs between paths



# Evaluation details

- All experiments:
  - 134-byte (including 802.11 overhead) UDP packets sent for 30 seconds
- DSDV:
  - 90 second warm-up (including ETX)
  - Route table snapshot taken at end of 90s used to route UDP data for next 30s
- DSR:
  - Initiate route request by sending 1 pkt/s for five seconds; followed by UDP data for 30s
  - ETX warms up for 15s before route request

# Effect of asymmetry on DSDV



B successfully receives all of A's route ads,  
and installs a one-hop route to A.

But, throughput of **B-A** = 0.08

**B-C-A** = 0.5